MATERIAL RECEIVED FROM THE ARCHIVES

My two letters of June 28. Eckhoff's letter of July 19 with enclosed 26 pages received July 22.

All specified pages received: p.1 of CD's 55,58,171,177,226,233,256, and 314 Also p. 2 of CD 226. CD 325, pp. 3-7; CD 630, pp. 1-2,9-10,12-13. FBI Exh. D-19 thru D-21, 2 pages each.

✓ 1) Synopses for the 8 Philadelphia CD's:

My main interest was getting the Golden interview (which turned out to be in CD 226)for Marcello; I had no reason to expect anything special in the others but decided it wouldn't hurt to have them.

CD 55, p. 1: I wonder why the Marine buddies thought Oswald was studying Russian? (Perhaps I should get the rest of this CD.) Also, where are the statements Call and others gave the USMC? Not in the available part of the ONI file, or in the Folsom Exhibits, as I recall. Another little mystery.

CD 58 - we have the later report on the incident mentioned in the synopsis. I forget the CD, but it was a phone call from someone in Miami. Patrick was involved, as I recall.

CD 171, 177: looks routine to me.

CD 226: this is the original interview with Goulden, the day after his article appeared. Note that the second page is 2*, so apparently the text of the article was not set forth. (I will confirm that there are no additional pages.) If the interview was recorded properly, the not-very-important reference by Goulden to the witnesses who had seen Oswald in the building may have been a hint by him to the FBI that he had heard about the informant business from the Sheriff's office also. Has Goulden been asked about this recently?? Note that ordinarily the DPD and the Sheriff's Dept. would not know that the FBI had been recruiting Oswald. Perhaps they had their own reasons for pushing such a plausible and un-contradictable rumor.

CD 233, 256, 314. Routine. Note reference to our favorite FBI office in CD 314.

✓ 2) CD 325.

I have added for distribution pp. 1-2, 8(*), which I already had. This all looks familiar, but I apparently didn't have it. My interest was the reference to Quiroga on p. 5. Quite a bit may be of interest (Garner int.).

✓ 3) CD 637.

Essentially routine. My interest was in seeing if pp. 10-11 of this CD are CD 830, which referred to Hosty's pre-assassination work. It was not properly identified in the table of contents or when it was introduced into evidence. A different (slightly) version is in CD 75, CE 1809. The two pages here bear the file number for the Paine investigation, DL 105-1716. CD 637 was the most likely place for this to have come from; I will have to think about it some more. It may not be significant.

✓ 4) FBI Exh. D-19 and D-20 are CD's 788 and 134; the back of the latter has some routine markings. I asked for these right away when Kleindienst told me that one of the items I had asked for was D-21; I didn't take the time to check CD 345 to see what D-19 and D-20 were, but just got them in case they might have been related. (That explains why I wrote 2 letters on 6/28.) D-19 and D-20 have not been sent to HW and JNS.

D-21 is also CD9 at CD 7, p. 329. It is the original change-of-address card which Oswald sent the Worker and which the FBI got on July 8, 1963. I

assume this is public through some slipup, since it does relate to FBI interception of an item in the Worker's files. It bears the notation "Received 7. 3 63 / from CSNY - 48 .S / .6." I assume 48-S is the informant's identification; I don't know if CSNY means anything more than NY, and I don't know anything about the 6. (I'll ask Bill Turner.) The card was mailed in N.O. at 1:00 AM, June 12 or 13 or 18 or 19. (It is to become effective June 15; Oswald rented the box June 3.) There are several pencil and pen marks that may be initials of C.USA or FBI people; I can't make them out. Note that there is no file number. At some point this was stapled to something else; in addition to the staples now in the card there is one other set of staple holes. Note that the Naack report (CD 826) says that NO F-5 advised on July 8, 1963, about this change of address card.

While checking through my files of FBI lab exams, I noticed a few things of interest. CD 7, p. 332 is how FBI D-25, the handbills, got to the Commission; this is significant since one of those handbills is from the 97-74 (FCC) file, and was obtained from Jesse Core before the assassination. I had been looking for a cover letter which explained where they came from; I guess this is all there is.

CD 205, p. 153-4. Note ref. to spectro; NY may have this. On p. 154, "no bullet metal" was found at the shirt-front hole. Cf. Gallagher Exh. 1, which says that none was found in excess of that in undamaged regions.

I enclose the exchange of letters with the Archives. The reply on CE 3146 is obviously unacceptable. Even before getting this, I had planned to write the Archives along the following lines: (comment welcome)

The regulations governing the Archives records (41 CFR 105-61) provide that denials (except in the normal course of reference service) shall include the legal basis for nondisclosure and "except in the cases where the public interest so requires, specify the compelling reasons justifying the denial." For use in connection with possible legal (F of I) proceedings, I would like such a denial for a number of my old requests. The reasons are to be explained a bit. E.g.,

CD 653, and some other FBI CD's, to clarify the status of the Guidelines relative to the law.

CD 365 (from La.), to check on something withheld at the request of a body not subject to the F of I act itself (e.g., non-federal, congressional, etc.)

The enclosures to CE 3146. I think these are identifiable Archives records under the law, and if they are lost I shouldn't have to go to the trouble of getting them from the JD myself.

The HUAC public file on LHO - I have solid evidence that it was given to the NC. It can't be found, but HUAC is not subject to a F of I request, so I have to go through the Archives.

A top-secret ex. sess. transcript, and a letter from Rankin to JEH, both withheld under Guideline 2. I want to clarify the authority and responsibility of the Archives to consider release of classified stuff that originated with the Commission, not another agency.

And more. I will look through my entire Archives file to get all possible different categories.

cc: HW, JMC

P. Hoch
July 23, 1971